

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated July 26, 2007, the Examiner imposed three election of species requirements.

In a first election of species requirement, the Examiner required election between two species each corresponding to one of claim 108 and 109. In response, applicants hereby elect the species corresponding to claim 108.

In a second election of species requirement, the Examiner required election between nine species each corresponding to one of claims 111-119. In response, applicants hereby elect the species corresponding to claim 111.

In a third election of species requirement, the Examiner required election between two species each corresponding to one of claim 133 and claim 138. In response, applicants hereby elect the species corresponding to claim 138.

Applicants note that the Examiner has, for each of the three election of species requirements, correctly identified a generic claim. Upon allowance of the generic claims, applicants request consideration of claims to unelected species.


Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 291958157US4 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Application No.: 10/817,659

Docket No.: 291958157US4

Dated: 8/10/07

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Steven D. Lawrenz
Registration No.: 37,376
PERKINS COIE LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant